Rapid detection of *Streptococcus uberis* in raw milk by loop-mediated isothermal amplification
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ABSTRACT

A loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method to detect *Streptococcus uberis* in raw milk was developed and evaluated. Three genes (*sodA*, *pauA*, *cpn60*) were assessed for their suitability as targets in LAMP. The analytical sensitivity was 120, 120, and 12 fg per assay for the *sodA*, *pauA*, and *cpn60* assays, respectively, with a detectable signal within 8 min for the highest concentration (12 ng/assay) and ~60 min for the lowest concentrations. The LAMP assays correctly identified 7 *Strep. uberis* strains among a set of 83 mastitis pathogens. To enable DNA isolation from raw milk, a new method was used in which a pretreatment with a cocktail of lysing enzymes was performed before an established procedure. This method resulted in an analytical sensitivity of 48 cfu/assay for the *sodA* LAMP assay using raw milk spiked with *Strep. uberis*, corresponding to $2.4 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL milk. For raw milk samples from cows experimentally infected with *Strep. uberis*, results of enumeration were largely reflected by results of LAMP. Evaluation of the *sodA* LAMP assay with 100 raw milk field samples, of which 50 were *Strep. uberis* culture-negative and 50 *Strep. uberis* culture-positive, showed that the assay had a diagnostic sensitivity of 96.0% and a diagnostic specificity of 96.0%. In conclusion, the described LAMP assay may offer a simple alternative for convenient and sensitive detection of *S. uberis* in raw milk, provided a compatible rapid DNA isolation procedure is available.
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INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is a common and costly infectious disease affecting dairy farms (Hogeveen et al., 2011) and one of the major reasons for use of antibiotics in dairy farming (Pieterse and Todorov, 2010). Several bacteria are implicated as causative agents, including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Streptococcus uberis*, and coliforms such as *Escherichia coli* (Reyher et al., 2012). Monitoring of udder health is most frequently done by SCC and bacteriological culturing of milk (Lam et al., 2009). After culturing, additional typing techniques are required to determine the causative agent. Early detection and identification of pathogens could accelerate decisions on treatment, thereby contributing to animal health and reduced use of antibiotics (Trevisi et al., 2014).

To improve pathogen detection, various molecular diagnostic tests have been developed for identification of mastitis pathogens directly in milk (Koskinen et al., 2009), but rapid and sensitive tests with the potential for on-farm usage are not yet commercially available. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is an alternative method of nucleic acid amplification to PCR that holds great promise for rapid on-farm diagnostics. The LAMP method is faster than PCR and less demanding in terms of the quality of the template DNA; moreover, an expensive dedicated machine is not required (Kaneko et al., 2007; Lucchi et al., 2010; Njiru et al., 2012).

For LAMP, at least 4 primers and a DNA polymerase with strand displacement activity are required (Notomi et al., 2000). Additional loop primers can accelerate the reaction but are not essential (Nagamine et al., 2002). Various methods of detection are possible, including the formation of optic visible magnesium pyrophosphate (turbidity) or fluorescence by DNA-intercalating dyes such as EvaGreen (Goto et al., 2007; Tomlinson et al., 2010; Njiru et al., 2011).

Although LAMP assays have been described for mastitis pathogens such as *Staph. aureus* and *Strep. agalactiae* (Zhao et al., 2013a), so far, LAMP has not
been used for *Streptococcus uberis*, an important environmental pathogen accounting for a significant proportion of subclinical and clinical IMI (Bradley et al., 2007; Zadoks et al., 2011). To investigate the possibilities of LAMP for rapid on-farm diagnostics of mastitis pathogens, we developed a combined DNA isolation method and a LAMP assay for detection of *Strep. uberis* in raw milk. Assay performance was evaluated with raw milk obtained from experimentally infected animals, as well as with a selection of raw milk samples from the field, of which half were bacteriologically positive for *Strep. uberis*. The combination of the DNA isolation method and a LAMP assay targeting the *Strep. uberis* sodA gene allowed for rapid detection of *Strep. uberis*. For the experimental infection of cows, *Strep. uberis* strain O140J (Leigh et al., 1990) was used. This strain was grown on Columbia agar blood base plates (Oxoid Ltd.) containing 6% (vol/vol) horse blood and 0.1% (vol/vol) esculin for 18 h at 37°C. Liquid cultures of *Strep. uberis* O140J were grown in Todd-Hewitt broth (Oxoid Ltd.) for 16 h at 37°C. Subsequently, cultures were diluted 1:10 in Todd-Hewitt broth and grown to exponential phase to prepare the inoculum.

**Isolation of DNA from Bacterial Cultures and Raw Milk**

Aliquots of 200 μL of suspended bacteria containing 0.4 × 10⁹ cfu (see above) or 200 μL of milk were incubated for 30 min at 37°C with 50 μL of Tris-EDTA buffer [20 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 2 mmol/L EDTA] containing achromopeptidase, lysostaphin, lysozyme, and mutanolysin (1,000 U/mL, 20 μg/mL, 1 mg/mL, and 100 U/mL, respectively; Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands). Subsequently, the mix was incubated for 1 h at 56°C with 20 μL of protease K in 180 μL of ATL buffer from the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands), and bacterial DNA was isolated according to the procedure of the manufacturer and taken up in 200 μL of water. Concentrations of DNA were determined by using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA).

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions**

Strains of various bacterial species isolated from milk of cows with mastitis (Table 1) were selected from in-house strain collections. Bacteria were grown on sheep blood agar heart infusion (HIS) plates (Central Veterinary Institute, Lelystad, the Netherlands) for 18 h at 37°C under aerobic conditions. The species of each isolate was confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS; MALDI Biotyper 3.1, Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Leipzig, Germany).

For DNA isolation, bacteria were harvested by scraping from the surface of a plate grown to confluence. The bacteria were suspended in 1 mL of PBS (0.1 M NaCl, 33 mM Na₂HPO₄, 17 mM KH₂PO₄; pH 7.4) and pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 15 min. The pellets were stored at −20°C until further use.

From the selected set of bacteria, one *Strep. uberis* strain (strain 2.28) was chosen as a positive control. This isolate was cultured on sheep blood agar HIS plates for 18 h at 37°C. From one colony, an overnight culture (18 h) was prepared in Todd-Hewitt broth (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) at 37°C under aerobic conditions. A 1:100 dilution in Todd-Hewitt broth was grown to exponential phase (optical density of 0.5 at 600 nm). The number of colony-forming units per milliliter of the liquid culture was determined by serial dilution and plating on HIS agar. Subsequently, bacteria were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min, and the pellet was resuspended in Nutrient Broth Medium No. 2 (Central Veterinary Institute) with 15% glycerol to a concentration of 1.9 × 10⁹ cfu/mL. Aliquots of 1 mL were frozen at −80°C until further use.

For the experimental infection of cows, *Strep. uberis* strain O140J (Leigh et al., 1990) was used. This strain was grown on Columbia agar blood base plates (Oxoid Ltd.) containing 6% (vol/vol) horse blood and 0.1% (vol/vol) esculin for 18 h at 37°C. Liquid cultures of *Strep. uberis* O140J were grown in Todd-Hewitt broth (Oxoid Ltd.) for 16 h at 37°C. Subsequently, cultures were diluted 1:10 in Todd-Hewitt broth and grown to exponential phase to prepare the inoculum.
**Experimental Strep. uberis Infection of Cows**

Ten Holstein-Friesian cows in first lactation were inoculated with *Strep. uberis*. Before inoculation via the teat canal, teats were disinfected with alcohol. Two cross-quarters of each animal were infected with 500 cfu of *Strep. uberis* by inserting a teat cannula into the teat canal, followed by a gentle upward-directed massage of the mammary gland. The remaining 2 quarters were mock challenged with PBS and served as within-cow control quarters. After inoculation, milk samples were taken twice daily. Fresh raw milk was analyzed bacteriologically and SCC were determined as described below. Aliquots of milk were stored at −20°C until further analysis by LAMP.

To determine the SCC, milk was fixed in 2% formaldehyde containing 2 μg/mL eosin solution for 50 min at 55°C. After fixation, the milk was cooled to room temperature. Fixed cells were diluted 100-fold in Tolle electrolyte solution (2% Triton-X100, 12.5% ethanol, 1% of 37% formalin, 84.5% of 0.9% NaCl) and incubated for 15 min at 80°C. After incubation, samples were cooled to room temperature. Subsequently, milk SCC were determined for each quarter using a Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Milk samples were serially diluted with sterile saline solution, plated onto Columbia agar blood base plates (Central Veterinary Institute), and enumerated after 18 h of incubation at 37°C.

All animal experiments were approved under number 870.474.05.00.01 by the ethical committee of the Central Veterinary Institute of Wageningen UR, Lelystad, the Netherlands, in accordance with the Dutch law on animal experiments.

**Raw Milk Field Samples**

A collection of 100 raw milk samples was compiled by selecting 50 *Strep. uberis*-positive milk samples obtained from 35 different herds and 50 *Strep. uberis*-negative milk samples obtained from 32 different herds. These samples had been collected from cows in the Netherlands between October and November 2014.

Milk samples (10 μL) were streaked onto 6% sheep blood agar plates (GD–Animal Health, Deventer, the Netherlands) and incubated at 37°C. Presumptive growth of mastitis-causing pathogens on the plates was examined after 18 to 24 h and again after 48 h. If no growth was observed, samples were re-examined by culturing (10 μL) on 6% sheep blood agar after freezing (−20°C) and subsequent overnight incubation at 37°C. Identification of presumptive mastitis-causing pathogens was performed by MALDI-TOF MS. The number of *Strep. uberis* colonies after direct culture was recorded semiquantitatively in 3 categories: 1–5, 6–10, and >10 cfu/plate. Aliquots of milk were kept at −20°C until further analysis by LAMP.

**LAMP Assay Design**

Three genes that have been used in the literature as targets for PCR to detect *Strep. uberis* were selected (Alber et al., 2004; Gillespie and Oliver, 2005). The sequences of the *Strep. uberis* genes coding for superoxide dismutase A (*sodA*), plasminogen activator A (*pauA*), and chaperonin Cpn60 (*cpn60*) were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), using one sequence for each gene to search for related sequences [accession numbers GU392740.1, GU392692.1, and GU392383.1, respectively; for each gene, only one copy number of these genes was found present in genome of *Strep. uberis* (accession number AM946015.1)]. Conserved regions were identified by sequence alignments using NCBI Blast search (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for *sodA* (n = 23), *pauA* (n = 61), and *cpn60* (n = 39). The conserved regions were used for primer design with Primer Explorer V4 (http://primerexplorer.jp/elamp4.0.0/index.html). As the LAMP primer design software consistently generated assays with only one loop primer, all LAMP assays were equipped with 5 primers (Table 2).

**LAMP Reactions**

The LAMP assays were performed using a commercially available mix that includes a polymerase with strand displacement activity and EvaGreen (Isothermal Master Mix; OptiGene, Horsham, UK). The LAMP reactions were carried out on a scale of 26.0 μL, containing the forward inner primer (FIP) and the backward inner primer BIP (2.0 μmol/L each), F3 and B3 primers (0.2 μmol/L each), and, depending on the design, 1.0 μmol/L of the backward loop or forward loop primer, 2.0 μL of template DNA, and 6 μL of ROX Passive reference (Eurogentec, Liege, Belgium). The LAMP assays were performed in 96-well plates and always included negative controls (2.0 μL of water) and positive controls (2.0 μL of *Strep. uberis* DNA of 58 ng/μL). Reactions were incubated at temperatures ranging from 60 to 63°C, in an ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) for 67.5 min, during which fluorescence by EvaGreen was measured (90 “cycles” of 45 s). The threshold was manually set at 50% in the linear phase of the amplification plot, similar to the selection of a cutoff-value for real-time PCR (Caraguel et al., 2011). The time point at which fluorescent signals pass this threshold is referred to as...
time-to-positivity (Tp) and is expressed in minutes. To confirm the identity of amplification products, melting curve analyses were performed after amplification by decreasing the temperature from 95°C to 60°C while measuring fluorescence.

**Evaluation of LAMP Assays**

The analytical sensitivity of each LAMP assay was calculated using *Strep. uberis* DNA isolated from 200 μL of culture (Strep. *uberis* strain 2.28; 1.9 × 10^5 cfu/mL) and dissolved in 200 μL of elution buffer at a final DNA concentration of 58.40 ng/μL. This DNA preparation was tested in a 10-fold serial dilution (2 μL per assay), resulting in a range from 12 ng (corresponding to 3.8 × 10^5 cfu/assay) to 1.2 fg of *Strep. uberis* DNA (corresponding to 0.04 cfu/assay) per assay. The dilutions were tested with all 3 LAMP assays at 4 temperatures (62°C, 60°C, and 60°C), respectively.

To assess the diagnostic specificity of the designed *Strep. uberis* LAMP assays, 83 mastitis isolates, including 7 *Strep. uberis* isolates, were used (Table 1). Of each isolate, 1 ng/μL template DNA was tested with the *sodA*, *pauA*, and *cpn60* LAMP assays at 62°C, 60°C, and 60°C, respectively. Results were used to determine Tp cut-off values: as Tp values indicate the time required to generate a fluorescent signal, Tp cut-off values indicate below which Tp value a result can be deemed positive. The Tp cut-off values were defined as the average Tp value of the 76 non-*Strep. uberis* isolates minus 3 times the standard deviation, using only experiments in which a signal was detected within the length of the experiment. Results were only considered positive when Tp values remained below the calculated cut-offs and, as such, were used to calculate diagnostic specificity.

To determine the analytical sensitivity of the *sodA* LAMP in milk, the assay was tested on DNA isolated from raw milk spiked with a culture of *Strep. uberis* strain 2.28 (1.9 × 10^9 cfu/mL). Raw milk that was culture negative for *Strep. uberis* was used to make a 5-fold serial dilution, starting with a 1:5 dilution of the 1.9 × 10^9 cfu/mL *Strep. uberis* culture (i.e., 3.8 × 10^8 cfu/mL). This resulted in a series of *Strep.uberis*-spiked milk samples from which DNA was isolated and of which 2 μL was used for amplification by LAMP, resulting in a series corresponding to a range from 7.6 × 10^5 cfu/assay to 10 cfu/assay. Performance of the *Strep. uberis* LAMP assays in milk was evaluated using 48 raw milk samples from experimentally infected cows. These 4 series of 12 longitudinal milk samples were tested with the *sodA* and *pauA* LAMP assays; results were compared with bacteriological results. Performance of the *sodA* LAMP assay was further evaluated by testing a set of 100 raw milk field samples (see above), of which 50 samples were culture positive for *S. uberis*.

**Pilot with End-Point Readout by Lateral Flow Assay**

To investigate the possibilities of a LAMP endpoint readout using a lateral flow assay, a LAMP amplification on *Strep. uberis sodA* was performed with the 5' DIGoxigenin-labeled FIP primer and the 5’ biotin-labeled BIP primer. To this end, a 5-fold serial dilution of *Strep. uberis* bacteria was made in raw milk and used for DNA isolation. By applying 2 μL for amplification by LAMP, a range was tested from 7.6 × 10^5 cfu/
assay to 10 cfu/assay. Upon amplification by LAMP, the labeled product was analyzed using a lateral flow device (LFD), the PCRD Nucleic Acid Detector assay (Abingdon Health, York, UK). Five microliters of product was mixed with 75 μL of PCRD extraction buffer, applied to the LFD and left for 10 min to allow the reaction. This test contains antibodies against DIG and FAM on, respectively, lines 1 and 2, and a third line, marked C (for control) with biotin that catches the visible label (i.e., avidin-labeled gold nanoparticles); this line is used as a check for actual flow of added sample material within the assay. Detection of the LAMP amplicon was indicated by the presence of a black line on the reaction pad at position 1.

**Statistical Analyses**

The performance of *Strep. uberis* LAMP assays was analyzed for statistical significance by the Mann-Whitney U-test in the GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software (GraphPadSoftware Inc., La Jolla, CA), with \( P < 0.05 \) considered significant. Calculation of diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and Cohen’s kappa coefficient was performed as described (Mackinnon, 2000).

**RESULTS**

**Analytic Sensitivity of Strep. uberis LAMP Assays Using Serial Dilutions of DNA**

The performance of the *Strep. uberis* LAMP assays for 3 target genes was tested at 4 different temperatures. Ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared, resulting in a range from 12 ng to 1.2 fg of *Strep. uberis* DNA per assay. The Tp values ranged from 10 to 58 min for the *sodA* LAMP, from 8 to 63 min for the *pauA* LAMP, and from 8 to 60 min for the *cpn60* LAMP assay (Figure 1). The lowest amount of *Strep. uberis* DNA that could be detected was 120 fg/assay in the *sodA* and *pauA* assays and 12 fg/assay in the *cpn60* assay, corresponding to a calculated 3.8 cfu/assay (1,900 cfu/mL of sample) for the *sodA* and *pauA* assays and a calculated 0.4 cfu/assay (190 cfu/mL of sample) for the *cpn60* assay. These results showed that the analytical sensitivity of LAMP for amplification of *Strep. uberis* DNA was high for all 3 LAMP designs tested.

**Performance of Strep. uberis LAMP Assays Within a Set of Reference Strains**

For each assay, one reaction temperature was selected for further investigations based on the Tp values at the lowest DNA concentrations detectable (Figure 1). A temperature of 62°C was selected that was suitable for at least 2 of the 3 assays (*sodA* and *cpn60*); for the LAMP assay on *pauA*, the optimal temperature of 60°C was selected for further experiments.
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At the selected temperatures, performance of the LAMP assays for all 3 target genes was evaluated using equal quantities of DNA from a set of 83 mastitis-related bacterial isolates, including 7 Strept. uberis isolates (Table 1). The LAMP assays targeting sodA, pauA, and cpn60 were all able to detect Strept. uberis (n = 7) within 10 min, with median Tp of 8, 5, and 4 min, respectively (Figure 2). All other bacterial isolates (n = 76) resulted in higher Tp values in all LAMP assays (≥33 min) or did not yield a Tp value at all within the length of the experiment (Figure 2). The difference between Strept. uberis strains (n = 7) and non-Strept. uberis strains (n = 76) was statistically significant (P < 0.0001; 2-tailed Mann-Whitney test with 95% confidence) for all 3 LAMP assays.

With the aid of an additional melting curve analysis for each LAMP assay, a check was performed on the identity of the amplification products generated. Each LAMP assay on the 7 Strept. uberis strains resulted in products with characteristic melting temperatures (Tm), with averages of 83.8°C ± 0.2°C, 82.3°C ± 0.2°C, and 84.1°C ± 0.2°C (± SEM) for, respectively, the sodA, pauA, and cpn60 LAMP assays (not shown). For non-Strept. uberis isolates that resulted in a Tp within the length of the experiment, observed Tm values varied between 70°C and 86°C. For the cpn60 LAMP, various products of non-Strept. uberis strains were found, with a Tm within the same temperature range as specific Strept. uberis amplicons (84.1°C ± 0.2°C; not shown). These results suggest that the sodA and pauA LAMP assays are more specific for Strept. uberis, whereas the cpn60 LAMP is less specific for Strept. uberis.

To evaluate the performance of the LAMP assays for correct speciation within the mastitis strain set, sensitivity and specificity of the tests were determined. To this end, Tp cut-off values were calculated based on the Tp values found for non-Strept. uberis strains, as described in Materials and Methods. Calculated cut-offs were, respectively, 53, 39, and 28 min for the sodA, pauA, and cpn60 LAMP assays (Figure 2). Using these cut-offs, all individual results were scored (positive or negative) for Strept. uberis. The derived diagnostic sensitivities and specificities of the sodA, pauA, and cpn60 LAMP assays were 100% (not shown; see Figure 2), which suggests that, within the mastitis strain set, all LAMP designs performed well when used for speciation. However, the distance between the median Tp values for positive samples (8, 5, and 4 min. for sodA, pauA, and cpn60 LAMP) and the calculated Tp cut-off values (53, 39, and 28 min, respectively) was very small for the cpn60 LAMP assay compared with the other 2 assays (see Figure 2). This indicates that a higher background level of amplification occurred in the cpn60 LAMP than in the sodA and pauA LAMP

**Figure 2.** Diagnostic specificity of 3 Streptococcus uberis loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays. For a panel of 83 bacterial isolates, 3 Strept. uberis LAMP assays were performed (for sodA, pauA, and cpn60), using a standard concentration of 1 ng of DNA/μL. Results are presented as time-to-positivity (Tp) in minutes; for each of the 3 LAMP assays, per bacterial species, the median Tp with its range is given. Between parentheses (x-axis) is indicated per species how many out of the tested isolates were positive, where positivity is defined as the appearance of a signal within the length of the experiment. The Tp cut-off values were calculated as described in Materials and Methods.
assays. Because of this observation, together with the detection of nonspecific amplicons of non-*Strep. uberis* strains (melting curve analysis) in the *cpn60* LAMP assay, only the *sodA* and *pauA* assays were considered for further analysis.

**Evaluation of Strep. uberis LAMP Assays Using Raw Milk from Experimentally Infected Cows**

Only the *sodA* and *pauA* LAMP assays (see above) were evaluated using raw milk from experimentally infected cows. These 4 series of 12 longitudinal milk samples (48) were from 4 different cows that showed mastitis symptoms, had a high SCC (>10⁶ cells/mL) from d 2 until the end of the experiment, and had a high load of *Strep. uberis* (>10⁶ cfu/mL) at the end of the experiment.

Before experimental infection, milk of all quarters was bacteriologically negative for *Strep. uberis* (d −5 and −4; Figure 3). After *Strep. uberis* inoculation at d 0, *Strep. uberis* numbers sharply increased, and after a dip (d 2), numbers increased to 10⁶ to 10⁷ cfu/mL at d 5. The SCC were elevated from d 2, peaked at d 8, and declined thereafter. The amount of *Strep. uberis* detected by the 2 LAMP assays in general reflected the observed bacterial counts (Figure 3), except that the dip in the count at d 2, as observed by bacteriology, was not observed until d 3 with the LAMP assays.

These results demonstrated that both the *sodA* and *pauA* LAMP assays are capable of detecting *Strep. uberis* in raw milk samples.

**Analytic Sensitivity of the sodA LAMP Assay in Raw Milk Spiked with Strep. uberis**

The *sodA* and *pauA* LAMP assays gave comparable results with the raw milk samples from infection experiments, but only the *sodA* LAMP was selected for further evaluation because, within the reference set, its capability for differentiation between *Strep. uberis* and non-*Strep. uberis* isolates was better than that of the *pauA* (and *cpn60*) LAMP assay (see Figure 2). The analytic sensitivity of the *Strep. uberis sodA* LAMP assay only (see above) was tested on DNA isolated from raw milk spiked with *Strep. uberis*. The lowest number of *Strep. uberis* that could be detected was 48 cfu/assay, corresponding to 2.4 × 10⁴ cfu per mL milk (Figure 4A).

**Pilot with Endpoint Readout by Lateral Flow Assay**

The DNA extracts from a 5-fold serial dilution of *Strep. uberis* in milk, tested in the *sodA* LAMP assay

---

**Figure 3.** Detection of *Streptococcus uberis* by loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay in raw milk of experimentally infected cows. For 4 series of 12 raw milk samples from cows experimentally infected with *Strep. uberis* (d 0), means are given with standard error bars for SCC, count of *S. uberis*, and the results of the LAMP assays targeting *Strep. uberis sodA* and *pauA*. Results of the LAMP assays are presented as time-to-positivity (Tp) in minutes.
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Evaluation of Strep. uberis sodA LAMP Assay Using Raw Milk Field Samples

Next, the sodA LAMP assay was evaluated using 50 Strep. uberis-positive and 50 Strep. uberis-negative raw milk samples.

Figure 4. Analytical sensitivity of Streptococcus uberis sodA loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay in spiked milk. A 5-fold serial dilution of Strep. uberis bacteria, made in raw milk samples and corresponding to a range from $7.6 \times 10^5$ to 10 cfu/assay, was subjected to DNA isolation. The resulting samples were tested in the Strep. uberis sodA LAMP assay (A). The first 7 samples ($7.6 \times 10^5$ to 240 cfu/assay; sample numbers 1 to 7) and a negative control sample (water; sample 8) were also tested in a lateral flow assay (B). Products labeled with digoxigenin (DIG) can be immobilized by anti-DIG at position 1 and become visible as a line, whereas a line at position C becomes visible to indicate that sample material has correctly flown through the assay. Line 2 detects FAM/Biotin- or FITC/Biotin-labeled amplicons. Color version available online.
milk field samples as determined by culture. Out of the 50 bacteriological Strep. uberis-positive samples, 48 were positive for Strep. uberis by the LAMP assay within the length of the experiment. Melting curve analysis showed that the amplification products had a Tm of 83.8°C ± 1.0°C, which corresponded to the Tm of the product of the positive control (83.8°C). Out of the 50 bacteriological Strep. uberis-negative samples, 48 were Strep. uberis-negative by LAMP. Two bacteriologically negative Strep. uberis samples were found positive by LAMP (false positives; Tp 39.3 min and 6.7 min with, respectively, Tm of 84.0 and 83.3°C), and 2 bacteriologically positive Strep. uberis samples were missed by LAMP (false negatives). The calculated sensitivity and specificity of the sodA S. uberis LAMP assay compared with culture/MALDI-TOF MS were, respectively, 96.0% (95% CI: 88.29–99.51) and 96.0% (95% CI: 88.29–99.51), with a Cohen’s kappa coefficient of 0.92 (95% CI: 84.32–99.68), indicating almost perfect agreement (Mackinnon, 2000) of the LAMP assay with culture and MS.

**DISCUSSION**

For the detection and identification of pathogens in milk from cows with mastitis, simple and rapid diagnostics are required to accelerate decisions on treatment (Pieterse and Todorov, 2010; Gurjar et al., 2012), thereby contributing to animal health and a reduced use of antibiotics. As molecular diagnostics can be more rapid than classical methods, nucleic acid amplification by thermal cycling (PCR) is widely used for pathogen detection but is not common for detection on farm or at a modest veterinary laboratory. Suitable targets for identification of Strep. uberis by real-time PCR have been described (Phuektes et al., 2003; Gillespie and Oliver, 2005; McDonald et al., 2005; Chiang et al., 2008; Shome et al., 2012), and commercial real-time PCR kits (e.g., PathoProof Mastitis PCR Assay, Finnzymes Oy/ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) are on the market. However, the use of relatively expensive equipment (thermal cycler) remains essential. Isothermal amplification of nucleic acid sequences by LAMP has potential as a method for on-farm diagnostics, as LAMP is faster and cheaper than PCR, and less demanding in terms of the quality of the template DNA (Zhang et al., 2014). The LAMP method has been used for the detection of many foodborne bacteria, viruses, and parasites by using on-site equipment (often for real-time LAMP) and lateral flow immunoassays for endpoint detection (Zhang et al., 2014).

Applications of LAMP for the detection of mastitis-causing pathogens (Tie et al., 2012; Suebsing et al., 2013) and relevant resistance genes (i.e., for methicillin-resistant Staph. aureus and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; Anjum et al., 2013; Su et al., 2014) have been reported. The LAMP assay can be performed using real-time thermal cyclers but expensive equipment is not required; cheaper options, more suitable for point-of-care applications, are also available (Fischbach et al., 2015).

The aim of this study was to explore the possibilities of LAMP for pathogen detection in milk, for which Strep. uberis was chosen as target organism. Streptococcus uberis is a major mastitis pathogen for which no LAMP assay has yet been described. For this study, 3 LAMP assays were designed on target genes that have been used for PCR detection of Strep. uberis (Alber et al., 2004; Gillespie and Oliver, 2005).

The analytical sensitivity of the 3 LAMP assays was high, with detection to 120 fg/assay for the Strep. uberis sodA and pauA LAMP assays, and detection to 12 fg/assay (the lowest concentration tested) for the cpn60 LAMP assay. The assay is usually performed at a temperature between 60 and 65°C. In this study, all LAMP assays were tested at 60 to 63°C. Higher temperatures were not tested because the analytical sensitivity did not improve with increasing temperatures, whereas the Tp reached values close to, or over, an hour in time.

Within a set of mastitis reference strains, all assays had a diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of 100%. Importantly, the results of all LAMP assays with isolates of other Streptococcus species (Strep. agalactiae, Strep. castoreus, Strep. dysgalactiae) resulted in Tp values that were higher than the calculated cut-offs (Figure 2); that is, negative for Strep. uberis, indicating that the designed assays are sufficiently specific within the genus Streptococcus. Extensive evaluation of related Lactococcus species and of species from the related family of Enterococcaceae was not performed. However, for instance, the Strep. uberis sodA region used for LAMP design is highly specific for Strep. uberis within the genus Streptococcus and indeed within the order Lactobacillales, as shown by BLAST analyses.

In contrast to the sodA and pauA LAMP assays, we observed that the cpn60 LAMP assay resulted in signals for all non-Strep. uberis isolates throughout the length of the experiment. As these signals reached above the set cut-off, the outcomes were deemed negative for Strep. uberis, but it showed that the cpn60 LAMP assay had a high background compared with the other 2 LAMP assays. This was reflected by the low Tp cut-off value for the cpn60 LAMP assay, which is based on the Tp values observed for non-Strep. uberis strains. In addition, some of these amplified products showed Tm values in the same range as specific Strep. uberis-
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derived product. Despite the high analytical sensitivity, the cpn60 LAMP assay was therefore not considered for further evaluation.

The sodA LAMP was selected for further evaluation for 2 reasons. First, although the sodA and pauA LAMP assays gave comparable results with the raw milk samples from infection experiments, within the reference set, the capability for differentiation between Strep. uberis and non-Strep. uberis isolates was better for sodA LAMP than for pauA LAMP. Second, pauA may not be present in all bovine Strep. uberis isolates (Gilchrist et al., 2013).

An aspect that was not investigated in this study was the application of LAMP for multiple pathogens in one assay. Analysis of the performance of Strep. uberis LAMP assays was performed with a set of reference strains, all originating from cows with mastitis, and ideally at least a subset of these pathogens would be targeted simultaneously with similar assays. For now, it is anticipated that the most practical approach to multiplexing is to execute multiple assays in parallel.

An important aspect of this study was to find a protocol for isolation of microbial DNA from milk that could be used on farm and that would result in DNA that could be used as template in LAMP. Initial attempts with a simple and quick procedure (Sowmya et al., 2012) to isolate DNA from gram-positive bacteria (Strep. uberis, Staph. aureus) from raw milk resulted in an unsatisfactory analytical sensitivity (not shown). Therefore, we shifted the focus toward efficiency of DNA isolation, at the cost of speed and simplicity. To isolate Strep. uberis DNA from bacteria in milk, the samples were first incubated with a cocktail of lysing enzymes, followed by DNA isolation with a commercially available product. This procedure works well but takes 2.5 h; for a true point-of-care application of LAMP for mastitis, the need remains for a LAMP-compatible rapid and simple method to isolate DNA from raw milk. We observed that, in terms of count (cfu)/assay, the sodA LAMP assay was 10 times more sensitive using diluted DNA than DNA isolated from raw milk, which suggests that more-efficient DNA isolation protocols would allow for increased sensitivity of LAMP. In addition, the high sensitivity of a commercially available real-time PCR, that includes a procedure for DNA isolation from milk (Koskinen et al., 2009), indicates that for both the current and a future on-site procedures, optimizations will be required to increase the DNA yield and adaptation to the protocol to increase the DNA input in the test.

Although LAMP is not a quantitative diagnostic tool, and does not exactly reproduce PCR or enumeration, when raw milk samples from an experimental infection trial with Strep. uberis were tested, results of the sodA and pauA LAMP assays largely reflected the presence of bacteria: intermediate at the start of the experiment, followed by a dip and a subsequent high plateau. This demonstrates that the method used for DNA isolation combined with LAMP can be used to detect Strep. uberis in milk. The occurrence of a dip in bacterial count at d 2 was noteworthy, and it might reflect an immune response to the challenge with Strep. uberis. The dip in the bacterial count at d 2 was not detectable until d 3 in the LAMP assay. Culture and enumeration detect only live bacteria, whereas LAMP also detects DNA of dead bacteria. This difference may explain the delayed peak in detection by LAMP of DNA from bacteria that were used for infection at d 1. A solution to circumvent discrepancies between culture and nucleic acid amplification may be provided by the application of propidium monoazide (PMA) to inhibit amplification of DNA from dead bacteria, as has been used for PCR in dairy products (Zhang et al., 2015). Because PMA can be used in milk (Weber et al., 2014) and is compatible with LAMP (Zhao et al., 2013b), this is a possibility, but it remains to be seen whether it is practical for point-of-care testing or indeed required when testing milk for mastitis pathogens.

When testing the Strep. uberis sodA LAMP assay with raw milk field samples, high specificity (96%) and sensitivity (96%) were found, using results from bacteriology (culture and confirmation by MALDI-TOF MS) as reference. This shows the feasibility of the combination of the DNA isolation method and LAMP as a method for detecting Strep. uberis in raw milk. As Strep. uberis (and other mastitis-causing pathogens) is also an environmental agent, the presence of target pathogens in milk may depend in part on the hygiene of the procedure for obtaining milk; this needs to be established. As these assays were performed in the absence of a cut-off that could help to determine if results are to be interpreted as positive or negative, a melting curve analysis for each LAMP assay was performed after amplification, to confirm whether observed Tp values were accompanied by amplification products with the correct Tm. This may be too time consuming for point-of-care testing but is, at this stage, an absolute requirement to evaluate results in the absence of a validated cut-off for raw milk.

Due to the high analytical sensitivity of the LAMP assay, small amounts of Strep. uberis were detected in milk, as illustrated by the observation that during re-examination by bacteriology (not shown), one sample, initially negative by bacteriology but positive by LAMP, appeared positive for Strep. uberis, whereas one sample, initially positive by bacteriology but negative by LAMP, appeared negative for Strep. uberis (not shown). Although together this resulted in slightly improved performance in sensitivity (98.0%) and specificity (98.0%),
these observations suggest that, for both LAMP and bacteriology in some samples, measurements were done close to the limit of detection. This indicates the need for a practical cut-off, which could be established with a large set of known positive and negative samples. A discrepancy between culture and DNA amplification is not unknown: culture-negative milk samples and real-time PCR-positive samples represent a large proportion of samples in conventional bacteriology (Taponen et al., 2009). In this particular case, for the few culture-positive milk samples that were negative by LAMP, many explanations are conceivable, such as sequence heterogeneity, inhibitory components in raw milk, or reduced assay sensitivity by suboptimal DNA isolation. Nevertheless, the results with field samples show that LAMP is very sensitive in detecting *Strep. uberis* down to a few colony-forming units per assay and closely matches the results of culture.

To test the potential of LAMP as an on-farm test, we performed a pilot experiment using an LFD assay to make an endpoint reading of a LAMP assay. The preliminary results suggest that LAMP combined with lateral flow is a viable option for rapid on-farm diagnostics, but this type of assay would need to be optimized; the LFD assay was less sensitive than the assay with real-time readout. Furthermore, instead of open LFD, commercially available closed systems can be used to prevent contamination. Taken together, all components for rapid on-farm detection of pathogens in milk by isothermal amplification are available.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study presents a combination of a DNA isolation method and a LAMP assay for detection of *Strep. uberis* in raw milk that compares well with bacteriological methods. The procedure offers a simple and rapid alternative for convenient and sensitive detection of *Strep. uberis* in raw milk. Challenges that remain before LAMP is suitable for point-of-care detection of mastitis pathogens are the availability of a compatible rapid isolation procedure of DNA from bacteria in raw milk and a convenient method for performing multiple LAMP assays simultaneously on one sample.
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